



UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/602,420	06/23/2003	Tomohiko Kikuchi	WISEL 20.401	1990
26304	7590	01/08/2007	EXAMINER	
KATTEN MUCHIN ROSENMAN LLP 575 MADISON AVENUE NEW YORK, NY 10022-2585			DANIELSEN, NATHAN ANDREW	
			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			2627	

SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD OF RESPONSE	MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
3 MONTHS	01/08/2007	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire 6 MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.

Office Action Summary	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
	10/602,420	KIKUCHI, TOMOHIKO	
	Examiner	Art Unit	
	Nathan Danielsen	2627	

-- The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address --
Period for Reply

A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) OR THIRTY (30) DAYS, WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.

- Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.
- If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.
- Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).

Status

- 1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 13 December 2006.
- 2a) This action is **FINAL**. 2b) This action is non-final.
- 3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under *Ex parte Quayle*, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.

Disposition of Claims

- 4) Claim(s) 1-5 is/are pending in the application.
 - 4a) Of the above claim(s) _____ is/are withdrawn from consideration.
- 5) Claim(s) 3 is/are allowed.
- 6) Claim(s) 1,2,4 and 5 is/are rejected.
- 7) Claim(s) _____ is/are objected to.
- 8) Claim(s) _____ are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.

Application Papers

- 9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner.
- 10) The drawing(s) filed on _____ is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner.

Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).

Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).
- 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.

Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119

- 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).
 - a) All b) Some * c) None of:
 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.
 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. _____.
 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).

* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.

Attachment(s)

- 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)
- 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)
- 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08)
Paper No(s)/Mail Date _____
- 4) Interview Summary (PTO-413)
Paper No(s)/Mail Date. _____
- 5) Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)
- 6) Other: _____

DETAILED ACTION

1. Claims 1-5 are pending.

Priority

2. Receipt is acknowledged of papers submitted under 35 U.S.C. 119(a)-(d) and 37 C.F.R. 1.55(a)(4)(i)(B), which papers have been placed of record in the file.

Response to Amendment

3. Applicant's request for reconsideration of the finality of the rejection, in view of the translation of Applicant's foreign priority papers, of the last Office action is persuasive and, therefore, the finality of that action is withdrawn.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

4. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless –

(b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.

5. Claims 1, 2, 4, and 5 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Rickli (International Published Application WO 97/01168).

Regarding claim 1, Rickli discloses a digital audio data reproducing system comprising (whole of disclosure):

a digital audio data reproducing apparatus including a recording medium in which digital audio data are stored, detection means for detecting the digital audio data stored in said recording medium and a processing section for reproducing said digital audio data in accordance with a reproducing speed signal and a reproducing direction signal which are

Art Unit: 2627

supplied from the outside to said detection means (page 5, line 31 through page 7, line 4 and figures 2 and 3);

an analog record player including a turntable for an analog record or a disk similar thereto and rotation driving means for said turntable (turntable 16 in figures 2 and 3); and

a rotation detecting apparatus mounted onto said analog record player for detecting both the speed of revolution and the sense of rotation for either said turntable or said analog record or a disk similar thereto, which is rotated by said turntable, and for supplying said reproducing speed signal and said reproducing direction signal to said digital audio data reproducing apparatus, after determining said reproducing speed signal and said reproducing direction signal from the detection signals for the speed of revolution and the sense of rotation (sensors 21 and 31 in figures 2 and 3).

Regarding claim 2, Rickli discloses a digital audio data reproducing system according to claim 1, wherein said rotation detection apparatus comprises:

a rotation body which is rotated by either said turntable or said analog record in contact therewith (the pattern of black and white marks 20 and 23 in figure 2); and

a rotary encoder including an encoder disk connected to a rotary shaft of said rotation body and a photoelectric detector for sensing said encoder disk (the pattern of black and white marks 20 and 23 in combination with optical sensors 21 in figure 2).

Regarding claim 4, Rickli discloses a digital audio data reproducing system according to claim 1, wherein said rotation detecting apparatus comprising:

an image sensor for reading the surface of said analog record as image data (page 6, lines 10-15); and

a processing unit for determining said reproducing speed signal and said reproducing direction signal to be output on the basis of said image data supplied from said image sensor (page 6, lines 1-3).

Regarding claim 5, Rickli discloses a digital audio data reproducing system according to claim 4, wherein said rotation detecting apparatus is further equipped with switch means for

Art Unit: 2627

transmitting/interrupting said reproducing speed signal and said reproducing direction signal to said digital audio data reproducing apparatus by the operation of an operator at the output of said processing unit in said rotation detecting apparatus (suggested on page 7, lines 1-4 in combination with the apparatus of figure 2; where a conventional record would cover the white and black marks 20 and 23, thus effectively switching off the rotation-sensing ability of the apparatus).

Double Patenting

6. The nonstatutory double patenting rejection is based on a judicially created doctrine grounded in public policy (a policy reflected in the statute) so as to prevent the unjustified or improper timewise extension of the "right to exclude" granted by a patent and to prevent possible harassment by multiple assignees. A nonstatutory obviousness-type double patenting rejection is appropriate where the conflicting claims are not identical, but at least one examined application claim is not patentably distinct from the reference claim(s) because the examined application claim is either anticipated by, or would have been obvious over, the reference claim(s). See, e.g., *In re Berg*, 140 F.3d 1428, 46 USPQ2d 1226 (Fed. Cir. 1998); *In re Goodman*, 11 F.3d 1046, 29 USPQ2d 2010 (Fed. Cir. 1993); *In re Longi*, 759 F.2d 887, 225 USPQ 645 (Fed. Cir. 1985); *In re Van Ornum*, 686 F.2d 937, 214 USPQ 761 (CCPA 1982); *In re Vogel*, 422 F.2d 438, 164 USPQ 619 (CCPA 1970); and *In re Thorington*, 418 F.2d 528, 163 USPQ 644 (CCPA 1969).

A timely filed terminal disclaimer in compliance with 37 CFR 1.321(c) or 1.321(d) may be used to overcome an actual or provisional rejection based on a nonstatutory double patenting ground provided the conflicting application or patent either is shown to be commonly owned with this application, or claims an invention made as a result of activities undertaken within the scope of a joint research agreement.

Effective January 1, 1994, a registered attorney or agent of record may sign a terminal disclaimer. A terminal disclaimer signed by the assignee must fully comply with 37 CFR 3.73(b).

Art Unit: 2627

7. Claims 1 and 2 are rejected on the ground of nonstatutory obviousness-type double patenting as being unpatentable over claims 1 and 4 of U.S. Patent No. 6,898,165 (hereinafter Kikuchi '165) in view of Rickli.

Regarding claim 1, Kikuchi '165 claims a reproducing system comprising:
a reproducing apparatus including a recording medium, detection means for detecting audio data,
and a processing section for reproducing said audio data;
an analog record player including a turntable and rotation driving means for said turntable; and
a rotation detecting apparatus which is rotated by said turntable and supplies a reproducing
speed signal and a reproducing direction signal to said audio data reproducing apparatus
(all limitations found in claim 1).

However, Kikuchi '165 does not claim where the reproducing apparatus reproduces *digital* audio data from a *digital* recording medium.

In the same field of endeavor, Rickli discloses an apparatus for providing a user with control over audio output from CDs via an analog control unit capable of playing existing vinyl records. Therefore, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to have controlled digital audio reproduction by manipulating a vinyl record, as taught by Rickli, for the purpose of preventing excessive wear on vinyl records and record players (page 1, lines 20-29 and page 2, lines 27-28).

Regarding claim 2, Kikuchi '165 claims a digital audio data reproducing system according to claim 1, wherein said rotation detection apparatus comprises:

a rotation body which is rotated by either said turntable or said analog record or a disk similar thereto in contact therewith; and
a rotary encoder including an encoder disk connected to a rotary shaft of said rotation body and a photoelectric detector for sensing said encoder disk (all limitations claimed and described in claims 1 and 4).

Art Unit: 2627

Allowable Subject Matter

8. Claim 3 is allowed for the same reasons as cited in the previously mailed Office Actions (mailed 24 May 2006 and 03 October 2006).

Response to Arguments

9. Applicant's arguments, see pages 6-8, filed 29 March 2006, with respect to the rejection(s) of claim(s) 1 and 2 under 35 USC § 103 have been fully considered and are persuasive. Therefore, the rejection has been withdrawn. However, upon further consideration, a new ground(s) of rejection is made in view of Rickli, as shown above.

Citation of Relevant Prior Art

10. The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure.
 - a. "Logitech Marble™ Optical Technology White Paper" (Logitech, Inc. Published 23 January 2002 found at http://www.logitech.com/lang/pdf/marble_wp.pdf on 21 December 2006), describes the operation of a marble sensor.

Closing Remarks/Comments

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Nathan Danielsen whose telephone number is (571) 272-4248. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Friday, 8:30 AM - 4:30 PM Eastern Time.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Wayne Young can be reached on (571) 272-7582. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Art Unit: 2627

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see <http://pair-direct.uspto.gov>. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Nathan Danielsen
12/21/2006

ND


THANG V. TRAN
PRIMARY EXAMINER